

SCARSDALE, NY 10583

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/089,121	10/089,121 05/01/2002		Malcolm Barry James	COLLI.P-33	9085
28752	7590	06/17/2004		EXAMINER	
LACKENB	ACH SIE	GEL, LLP		CIRIC, LJI	LJANA V
LACKENBA		EL BUILDING		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

3753 DATE MAILED: 06/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
. Office Action Summary		10/089,121	JAMES, MALCOLM BARRY			
		Examiner 0. C	Art Unit			
		Ljiljana (Lil) V. Ciric	3753			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SH THE - Exte after - If th - If NO - Fail Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) di will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro o, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 N</u>	lovember 2003 and 08 March 20	<u>004</u> .			
2a)□	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
	Claim(s) 30-56 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 31,33,37,38,40-51 and Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 30,32,34-36,39,52 and 54-56 is/are reclaim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	nd 53 is/are withdrawn from con	sideration.			
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 May 2002</u> is/are: a)	igttize accepted or b) $igsqcup$ objected to	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
44)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action of form PTO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage			
Attachmer	nt(s)					
1) 🛛 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar				
2)	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 1.	Paper No(s)/Mail I				

Application/Control Number: 10/089,121 Page 2

Art Unit: 3753

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with a request for reconsideration or with traverse of the first species or the embodiment of Figures 1 and 2, drawn to claims 30, 32, 34 through 36, 39, 52, 54 through 56 in the replies filed on March 8, 2004 and on November 3, 2003 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that all the claims are related to base claim 30. This is not found persuasive because applicant failed to particularly point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement. Applicant's assertion that claim 30 is generic to all of the embodiments or species is also not persuasive since, while a generic claim must read on each of the species, the fact that a claim merely does so read is not conclusive that it is generic. For example, a generic claim cannot include limitations which are *not* present in *each* of the added species claims. See MPEP § 806.04(d).

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. Claims 31, 33, 37, 38, 40 through 51, and 53 are hereby withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to the various nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the replies filed on November 3, 2003 and on March 8, 2004.

Priority

- 3. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No. PCT/AU00/01160, filed on September 25, 2000. Claim Rejections 35 USC § 112
- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/089,121

Art Unit: 3753

5. Claims 30, 32, 34 through 36, 39, 52, 54 through 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, written in a run-on fashion, and failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and contain grammatical and idiomatic errors.

Claims 54 through 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite in that it fails to point out what is included or excluded by the claim language. These claims are omnibus type claims due to the limitations "for the purposes described" appearing in each claim. Also, each of these method claims lacks method steps.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Application/Control Number: 10/089,121

Art Unit: 3753

7. As best can be understdood in view of the indefiniteness of the claims, claims 30, 32, 34 through

36, 39, 52, 54 through 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kurihura et al.

Kurihura et al. discloses a mold including an arrangment to assist in controlling the termperature

Page 4

thereof as well as a corresponding method essentially as claimed, including, for example: at least one

closed chamber B within the mold, a substantially vertical conduit 71 including a lower inlet and an upper

outlet, and a heat source 5 adjacent a part of the conduit 71 [see Figure 2B, for example].

The reference thus reads on the claims.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should 9.

be directed to Ljiljana (Lil) V. Ciric, whose telephone number is (703) 308-3925. While she works a

flexible schedule that varies from day to day and from week to week, Examiner Ciric may generally be

reached at the Office during the work week between the hours of 10 a.m. and 6 p.m. ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave

Scherbel, can be reached on (703) 308-1272.

The NEW central official fax phone number is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should

be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

lvc

June 14, 2004

PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 3753